







## The Faculty Senate

- 1. Visitation conclusions
- 2. The Faculty Senate
- 3. Recommandations made by the Senate
- 4. Assimilation of the advices by the faculty
- 5. And further on...







#### 1. Visitation Conclusions 2006

- explicitly positive with respect to the quality of the programs
- urge to make far reaching choices







## Choise: width of coverage or profundity of content

- Up to now, education in BSE is characterized by very broad coverage of disciplines and subjects
- The recent evolution in led to unprecedented changes in terms of speed and impact
- Traditional disciplines should perhaps be left out in order to create room for new ones





# Choice for social skills and new pedagogical methods

- Choice for competences that belong to the affective domain of social skills
- Choice for new ways of transferring knowledge
- Focus on the requirements needed for a professional carreer in a predefined sector





## Choice suggestions

- rationalize the programs and reduce the number of options
- educate people who are able to realize the link between science, technology and economy at a time of converging disciplines, with special attention for sustainability
- By:
- practical training in an industrial context
- management skills
- internationalization of the master programs
- new pedagogical methods of knowledge transfer and acquisition





### 2. The Faculty Senate

- a group of leading representatives of different industries and institutions
- Structured framework that offers criteria for:
  - successful education
  - suitable answers relating to social questions
  - guidelines for
    - the design of research projects
    - the valorization of research results
    - for the realization of industrial practical trainings.





## The Senate as an official organ

- described in the faculty reglementation as an advisory board
- the president is invited member of the board of the faculty and of the faculty council
- structural guaranty that the advices become clearly heard and considered





## 3. Recommendations made by the senate

- Starting from obvious evolutions
- Adviced implications and possible actions







#### Obvious evolutions

- education in bioscience engineering is still focused on 'agriculture'
- feed and food recently show different accents
- biotechnology and molecular genetics play a larger part
- 'Engineering' becomes used in a new sense
- University education more focused on complementary disciplines and general competences
- to assimilate 'the state of the art' will in the future only be possible within the boundaries of a rather narrow specialization





#### Adviced implications and possible actions

- Bioscience engineering should be defined in the broader perspective of bio-engineering, including biotechnology.
- education in bioscience engineering covers subsectors with a varying grade of exclusivity
- educational oversupply should be rationalized
- Profundity of the basic disciplines should be conserved and room should be created for acquiring general competences
- Alternative pedagogical methods have to be introduced more extensively in the programs
- Education in general competences and social skills has to be integrated in the master program
- Special attention to the required staff and succession perspectives
- The new vision on the profile of the bioscience engineer for the coming era needs to be brought out by an adapted strategy





# 4. Assimilation of the advices of the senate by the faculty

- The minor master study program in management skills (2008): 20 ECTS credits, 4 units:
- small business project
- communication skills
- leadership capabilities
- the context of leadership
- Rationalization of the study programs
  - » Bachelor 2010
  - » Master 2013







#### 5. And further on...

- The Senate will
  - elaborate a vision on the profile of bioscience engineering
  - evaluate the proposals about the reduction of the master programs
  - give attention to the mutual interdependence of the faculty and the research departments

